Castleberry Independent School District Castleberry Elementary School 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



Public Presentation Date: October 16, 2023

Table of Contents

Goals	3
Goal 1: Transform Teaching and Learning: Student achievement, Teacher Growth, Instructional Pedagogy	3
Goal 2: Enhance Trust and Confidence in the District Through Effective Communication	23
Goal 3: Implement Effective and Efficient Operations	33
Goal 4: Implement Research-Proven Early Literacy and Numeracy Strategies to Foster Student Success	44

Goals

Goal 1: Transform Teaching and Learning: Student achievement, Teacher Growth, Instructional Pedagogy

Performance Objective 1: Our campus will increase in the overall "meets" performance level to 60% on the 2024 A-F Accountability Ratings

Evaluation Data Sources: Unit Assessments, Curriculum-Based Assessments, Benchmarks, Student Artifacts, Student Portfolios, and STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teaching, Learning, and Innovation will provide overviews of guiding documents and assessments and will		Formative		Summative
support teachers during PLCs with content standards, planning with a focus on targeted instructional practices to improve student learning.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in campus data and student performance on all STAAR assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff	50%			
Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Campus Academic Leader				
- Results Driven Accountability				
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 11				
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The Principal, Assistant Principal, and Campus Academic Leader will coach teachers, provide feedback, and		Formative		Summative
debrief with selected teachers weekly.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased rigor of instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	FOO			
Principal	50%			
Assistant Principal				
Campus Academic Leader				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 4				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: PLCs will disaggregate data after each unit test, formative assessment, MAP assessment, and benchmark and		Formative		Summative
develop a targeted action plan. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted instruction Targeted MTSS Tier 2/3 interventions Growth in skills leading to increases in achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Campus Academic Leader Principal - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 2, 3 Funding Sources: - Federal Funds: ESSER	Nov 40%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details		•		
Strategy 4: Teachers will vertically plan two times a year to align curriculum, target vocabulary, and implement strategies for effective instruction.	Nov	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased alignment of curriculum across grade levels Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11	25%			
Strategy 5 Details				
Strategy 5: Teachers will observe other teachers four times a year during PLCs and debrief with campus administration on		Formative		Summative
strategies/Tier I instruction that was observed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased use of effective strategies during Tier I instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Company Academia Leader	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June
Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 2, 3, 4				

Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Teacher Teams will collaborate and design common formative assessments aligned to the level of rigor on unit		Formative		Summative	
assessments and STAAR, and will follow up in PLC's to debrief with artifacts. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: There will be an increase in alignment across grade levels on skills. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Academic Leader Grade Level Teams	Nov 20%	Jan	Mar	June	
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 3					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 7: With support from TLI and the CAL, teachers will implement Stemscopes Math with fidelity and the district		Formative		Summative	
developed K-5 numeracy plan. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student performance on AMC, unit assessments, benchmarks and	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff Principals Campus Academic Leader Teachers	50%				
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6 Funding Sources: - 410- IMA					
Strategy 8 Details			riews	_	
Strategy 8: Teachers will utilize common methodologies for analyzing and interpreting math and science problems (CER and RUBIES for Science, UPS check for math).		Formative	1	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increased focus on targeted skills for small groups to demonstrate mastery on STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov 50%	Jan	Mar	June	
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I, Part A					

Strategy 9 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 9: Teachers will be supported by TLI and CAL with implementing the instructional cycle including the Gradual		Formative			
Release of Responsibility and 5E Models.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will connect and retain concepts learned, increase in student achievement on assessments					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff	25%				
Principal					
Assistant Principal					
Campus Academic Leader					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2					
Strategy 10 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 10: Teachers in ELA/Reading receive explicit support from TLI and the CAL with implementation of writing		Formative		Summative	
responses (short and extended) aligned to assessments to connect reading and writing.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in STAAR writing scores, Student writing samples that show growth over time, student writing that shows evidence of internalizing the recursive writing process to become independent,					
confident writers	35%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff					
Principals A 1 1 1					
Campus Academic Leader Teachers					
reactions					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 7, 8 - School Processes & Programs 2, 3					
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 211 - Title I, Part A					
Strategy 11 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 11: The campus will provide TEKS-aligned online resources, materials, and professional learning for all content		Formative		Summative	
areas to support student performance and growth.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in campus data and student performance					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff Principal	30%				
Campus Academic Leader					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 2					
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 211 - Title I, Part A, - Federal Funds: ESSER, - 410- IMA	I	1	1	1	

Strategy 12 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 12: TLI and the campus CAL will provide on-going professional learning and resources to support teachers' use of		Formative		Summative	
content area writing tools and strategies that will deepen students' understanding of the content, enhance critical thinking skills, and grow students' overall literacy development.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Core content teachers consistently utilize student digital portfolios to collect and house students' writing samples, self-assessments, goal-setting, and reflections on their progress and growth as a writer in that discipline	20%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff Principal Campus Academic Leader					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 7, 8, 11 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 3 Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 211 - Title I, Part A, - Federal Funds: ESSER					
Strategy 13 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 13: CE will increase student engagement by providing support and professional learning on the use of high-yield		Formative		Summative	
ctional strategies within tier one instruction to accelerate learning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in student engagement	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in student engagement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Campus Academic Leader	35%				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2 - Perceptions 3 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I, Part A, - Federal Funds: ESSER					
Strategy 14 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 14: Improve the effectiveness of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) promoting collaboration and		Formative		Summative	
continuous professional growth among educators by documenting collaborative activities and accessing the impact on instructional practices and increased student achievement during progress monitoring.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More effective PLCs, increased effectiveness of instruction, increased student performance	25%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching, Learning, and Innovation Staff, Campus Principal, Campus Academic Leader					
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11					

Strategy 15 Details					
Strategy 15: Support teachers with the use of the lesson planning framework that includes clear learning objectives, varied		Formative		Summative	
instructional strategies, and formative assessment methods that align teaching with the curriculum and the tested content while meeting the diverse needs of students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More targeted lesson plans, improved Tier 1 instruction, increased student achievement	50%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Teaching, Learning, and Innovation, Executive Director of Educational Leadership, Teaching, Learning, and Innovation Department, Principals, APs, CALs					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11					
Strategy 16 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 16: The campus will increase student engagement by supporting professional learning provided by TLI on the use		Formative		Summative	
f high yield instructional strategies (The Fundamental Five) within tier one instruction to accelerate learning for all students including special populations.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in student engagement that will increase student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI staff, CAL, AP, Principal	35%				
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11					
Strategy 17 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 17: CE will participate in the Effective Schools Framework grant partnership with Region XI who will support		Formative		Summative	
TIP development and training on identified target levers. The focus will be Essential Action 3.1 (Explicit school - wide behavioral expectations and culture routines) and Essential Action 4:1 (professional development and review lesson plans	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
for alignment between the standards and the activities to include the Fundamental Five strategies).					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To build capacity in teachers, aligned lesson plans, increased engagement, and increased STAAR scores. Evidence of school wide behavioral expectations and culture routines.	35%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Academic Leader, and Assistant Principal					
ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments - Targeted Support Strategy					
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 6					

Strategy 18 Details	Reviews					
		Strategy 18: Campus administration will communicate professional development needs with the Teaching, Learning, and		Formative		Summative
Innovation team based on campus goals, walkthrough data, T-TESS, professional learning communities, instructional rounds, progress monitoring, and other assessments of instructional practices leading to 60% of students achieving a "meets"	Nov	Jan	Mar	June		
level score on the STAAR assessment or higher.						
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Growth in campus data and student performance	25%					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teaching, Learning, and Innovation Department, Principals, CALs						
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11						
Strategy 19 Details						
Strategy 19: Campus administrators will implement the district wide standardized Teacher Walk Through form and		Formative	Summative			
evaluate 100% of teachers using the T-TESS rubric to provide consistent and targeted feedback to teachers, resulting in improved instructional practices and student learning outcomes.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved Tier I instruction, Increased student achievement	FOOT					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Executive Director of Educational Leadership, Principals, Assistant Principals,	50%					
Campus Academic Leader						
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11						
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 8: Special Education students achieved 12% Meets in Reading and 10% Meets in Math based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data is not available as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent co-teach models were utilized.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Only 78% of Kinder, 25% of First, and 59% of Second are Meeting grade level expectations on their EOY Early Numeracy Math Assessments for 2023. **Root Cause**: The rigor of Tier I instruction must be increased to align to unit assessments, benchmarks, and STAAR.

Problem Statement 6: Only 32% of students 3rd-5th Grade scored at Meets Grade level or above in Math on STAAR in 2022-2023 school year based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. Root Cause: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

Problem Statement 8: More than half of students are leaving primary grades below grade level in writing district wide. **Root Cause**: Students have not mastered foundational writing skills such as legible printing, spelling, and the conventions of print, and inconsistent instructional practices throughout the district.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: STAAR Reading performance is 41% at meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not be released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 10: STAAR 5th Grade Science performance is 27% meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 11: At CE, 15% of kinder students, 33% of first grade students and 25% of second grade students did not meet or exceed grade-level expectations for reading skills EOY 22-23. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers requested additional time in PLCs to discuss instructional growth goals. **Root Cause**: Increased demands on student growth, learning new adoptions, and teacher development and growth needed to address student learning loss.

Problem Statement 2: The staff survey indicated a need to provide evaluation results, plans, and evidence of support for struggling teachers. **Root Cause**: Data from walkthroughs conducted by central administration has not consistently been provided to teachers.

Problem Statement 3: PLCs were cancelled occasionally during the 2022-2023 school year which impacted teacher time to collaborate, analyze, and develop action plans. **Root Cause**: PLCs were cancelled due to a low substitute fill rate.

Problem Statement 4: Climate surveys are conducted for teachers only at this time which does not allow us to capture other employee group feedback regarding campus and department climate and culture. **Root Cause**: While we have moved to an anonymous survey platform as requested by our employees in previous stakeholder meetings, we are still developing non-teacher surveys. A priority would be to distribute a culture/climate survey to other staff along with teaching staff.

Problem Statement 6: There are missed opportunities to address conflict, behavioral, and social emotional issues in the school setting. **Root Cause**: Teachers and other school personnel lack training in areas, such as, but not limited to restorative practices, conflict resolution, and SEL that would empower them to address these issues.

Perceptions

Performance Objective 2: Our campus will increase Academic Growth to 85% on the 2024 A-F Accountability Ratings.

Evaluation Data Sources: Unit Assessments, Curriculum-Based Assessments, Benchmarks, Student Artifacts, Student Portfolios, ISIP Reading Assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Tutors and teachers will provide accelerated instructional opportunities during MTSS in the school day, ACE		Formative		Summative
acfter school program, PACE Saturdays, and summer school. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support Student Growth in achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Teachers Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I, Part A	Nov 35%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teaching, Learning, and Innovation and the campus CAL will support campus staff in analyzing Early Literacy,		Formative		Summative
and STAAR Progress Indicators during progress monitoring to support the development of campus action plans. egy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on indicators monitoring student progress in Early cy, Numeracy, STAAR Scores Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff	Nov 40%	Jan	Mar	June
Principal CAL Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 3				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: By the end of the 23-24 school year, the district will ensure Campus Academic Leaders know how and when to		Formative		Summative
utilize MAPS reports to plan and monitor Tier II and III interventions for students in all STAAR grades/subjects as evidenced by 9 week MTSS progress monitoring meetings.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted MTSS Tier 2/3 interventions. Growth in skills leading to increases in ELA and Math scores.	25%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: MTSS/504 Coordinator, Principals, CALs				
Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 9, 10, 11				
				//22001710

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews	Reviews		
Strategy 4: CE will support teachers with implementing student data tracking, goal setting with supplemental supports, and		Formative		Summative
student reflection of their learning.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will build self reflection, efficacy, and ownership in their learning Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principal, CAL Problem Statements: Demographics 4, 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11	35%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 4: The number of students identified as needing special education services exceeds the state average (13%) and continues to trend upward. **Root Cause**: Need to implement consistent MTSS screeners to be able to accurately monitor student strengths/weaknesses on crucial skills and intervene immediately.

Problem Statement 8: Special Education students achieved 12% Meets in Reading and 10% Meets in Math based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data is not available as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent co-teach models were utilized.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Only 78% of Kinder, 25% of First, and 59% of Second are Meeting grade level expectations on their EOY Early Numeracy Math Assessments for 2023. **Root Cause**: The rigor of Tier I instruction must be increased to align to unit assessments, benchmarks, and STAAR.

Problem Statement 6: Only 32% of students 3rd-5th Grade scored at Meets Grade level or above in Math on STAAR in 2022-2023 school year based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. Root Cause: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

Problem Statement 8: More than half of students are leaving primary grades below grade level in writing district wide. **Root Cause**: Students have not mastered foundational writing skills such as legible printing, spelling, and the conventions of print, and inconsistent instructional practices throughout the district.

Problem Statement 9: STAAR Reading performance is 41% at meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not be released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 10: STAAR 5th Grade Science performance is 27% meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 11: At CE, 15% of kinder students, 33% of first grade students and 25% of second grade students did not meet or exceed grade-level expectations for reading skills EOY 22-23. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers requested additional time in PLCs to discuss instructional growth goals. **Root Cause**: Increased demands on student growth, learning new adoptions, and teacher development and growth needed to address student learning loss.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: The staff survey indicated a need to provide evaluation results, plans, and evidence of support for struggling teachers. **Root Cause**: Data from walkthroughs conducted by central administration has not consistently been provided to teachers.

Problem Statement 3: PLCs were cancelled occasionally during the 2022-2023 school year which impacted teacher time to collaborate, analyze, and develop action plans. **Root Cause**: PLCs were cancelled due to a low substitute fill rate.

Performance Objective 3: For our campus, all subpopulation indicators will meet the interim academic target of 3 in Closing the Gaps on the 2024 A-F Accountability Ratings (all, two lowest performing, "high focus" group).

Evaluation Data Sources: Unit Assessments, Curriculum-Based Assessments, Benchmarks, Student Artifacts, and Student Portfolios

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: By the end of the 23-24 school year, 100% of General Education and Special Education teachers involved in a		Formative		Summative
STAAR co-teaching situation will be trained in effective and intentional co-teaching practices. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in high yield strategies for all students, increase in effective differentiation Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CAL Assistant Principal Principal	Nov 20%	Jan	Mar	June
Problem Statements: Demographics 4, 8 - School Processes & Programs 2 Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teaching, Learning, and Innovation will support the implementation of small group instruction, differentiation,				Summative
and effective instructional practices in all K-12 reading and math classes.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in STAAR reading and math scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal Principal Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Demographics 8 - Student Learning 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 Funding Sources: - Federal Funds: ESSER	30%	9	1,441	dunc

Nov	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative
	Jan	Mar	
10%			June
Reviews			Summative
Nov		Mar	June
35%		2.202	
	Nov 35%	Formative Nov Jan	Formative Nov Jan Mar

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 4: The number of students identified as needing special education services exceeds the state average (13%) and continues to trend upward. **Root Cause**: Need to implement consistent MTSS screeners to be able to accurately monitor student strengths/weaknesses on crucial skills and intervene immediately.

Problem Statement 8: Special Education students achieved 12% Meets in Reading and 10% Meets in Math based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data is not available as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Inconsistent co-teach models were utilized.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Only 78% of Kinder, 25% of First, and 59% of Second are Meeting grade level expectations on their EOY Early Numeracy Math Assessments for 2023. **Root Cause**: The rigor of Tier I instruction must be increased to align to unit assessments, benchmarks, and STAAR.

Problem Statement 6: Only 32% of students 3rd-5th Grade scored at Meets Grade level or above in Math on STAAR in 2022-2023 school year based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. **Root Cause**: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: More than half of students are leaving primary grades below grade level in writing district wide. **Root Cause**: Students have not mastered foundational writing skills such as legible printing, spelling, and the conventions of print, and inconsistent instructional practices throughout the district.

Problem Statement 9: STAAR Reading performance is 41% at meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not be released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 10: STAAR 5th Grade Science performance is 27% meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 11: At CE, 15% of kinder students, 33% of first grade students and 25% of second grade students did not meet or exceed grade-level expectations for reading skills EOY 22-23. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: The staff survey indicated a need to provide evaluation results, plans, and evidence of support for struggling teachers. **Root Cause**: Data from walkthroughs conducted by central administration has not consistently been provided to teachers.

Performance Objective 4: College, Career, and Military (CCMR) Readiness measure will increase from 77 to 90 scaled score on the 2024 A-F Accountability Ratings.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CCMR Spreadsheet and Data Suite

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: CE will host a career day to help build awareness for students of all their career options.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased opportunity awareness. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Counselors	Nov N/A	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: CE will host the Tarrant County College Mobile Go Center (MOGO) and will promote college awareness by		Formative		
participating in district college days once a month. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased awareness of career paths. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Counselors	Nov N/A	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: Four year graduation rate will increase to 98% in Closing the Gaps meeting the long term target of 4 on the 2024 A-F Accountability Ratings.

Evaluation Data Sources: Forecast 5 tracking, attendance call logs

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: CE will maintain a 95% attendance rate throughout the 2023-2024 school year by maintaining attendance call		Formative		Summative
logs, incentivizing regular attendance, and increasing parent awareness. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased attendance habits, which should impact student achievement	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
throughout their school career. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader	35%			
Attendance Clerk Principal				
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Perceptions 1, 2				
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 7: The preliminary attendance rate for the 2022-2023 school year is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Parents do not understand the difference between truancy and compulsory attendance.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Problem Statement 2: The current campus attendance rate is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Some parents do not understand the importance of consistent school attendance.

Performance Objective 6: On our campus, Emergent Bilinguals will meet the target of 49 for English Language Proficiency in Closing the Gaps on the 2024 A-F Accountability Ratings.

Evaluation Data Sources: Unit Assessments, Curriculum-Based Assessments, Benchmarks, Student Artifacts, and Student Portfolios

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Teachers will guide students in setting personal goals to work toward throughout the year.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance of students who participate in TELPAS. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader ESL Teachers Bilingual Teachers Problem Statements: Student Learning 7	Nov 50%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: The CAL and the Bilingual/ESL TLI staff will provide continuous support to teachers on the implementation of		Formative	1	Summative
PS and various strategies to teach language through content while focusing on the varied needs for the specific n.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will make the required 1 year progress on language acquisition as measured by TELPAS Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Bilingual/ESL Coach Bilingual/ESL Coordinator TLI Staff	25%			
CAL				
- ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 7				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 3: The campus will implement content based language supports added to the guiding documents by TLI.		Formative		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will make the required 1 year progress on language acquisition as measured by TELPAS; Therefore, there will be an increase in the number of reclassified students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Bilingual/ESL Coach Bilingual/ESL Coordinator, Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: Student Learning 7 Funding Sources: - 263 - Title III, LEP, - 199 - General Fund	Nov 30%	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 4: In collaboration with TLI, the campus will implement vocabulary programs and resources provided by the	Formative			Formative			Summative
district for multiple content areas to support Emergent Bilinguals.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will make the required 1 year progress on language acquisition as measured by TELPAS; Therefore, there will be an increase in the number of reclassified students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Bilingual/ESL Coach, Bilingual/ESL Coordinator, Teaching, Learning, and Innovation Staff, Principal, CAL, Teachers	15%						
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6							
Problem Statements: Student Learning 7							
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 263 - Title III, LEP							
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue					

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. Root Cause: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

Performance Objective 7: Increase Castleberry Elementary's identified gifted and talented population by 2% to mirror the state percentage of identified students.

Evaluation Data Sources: TAPR, Skyward Data, Castleberry ISD Gifted/Talented Program of Services

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide ongoing multi-modal communication in home languages to all stakeholders that outlines: gifted		Formative		Summative
behaviors to recognize, referral and identification processes, and regular updates to services and opportunities.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A strong understanding of giftedness and an increase in the number of students identified for the services				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gifted and Talented Instructional Coach	25%			
Advanced Academics Coordinator				
Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Campus Academic Leader				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Perceptions 1				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide training for teachers and staff to identify gifted behaviors and gifted behaviors specific to special		Formative		Summative
populations, especially gifted girls/gifted boys and CLED (culturally, linguistically, and economically diverse) students. Train teachers to use planned experiences for observing behaviors & collecting work samples, to identify gifted traits in	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
other work samples, and to keep anecdotal records of examples of gifted behaviors they witness.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A strong understanding of giftedness and an increase in the number of students identified for the services	40%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gifted and Talented Instructional Coach				
Advanced Academics Coordinator				
GT Para				
Principal				
Problem Statements: Demographics 6				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Offer both in-person and virtual informational meetings to explain the assessment and identification process for		Formative		Summative
gifted services. The meeting will also provide an overview of giftedness and behaviors commonly used in identification, as well as how Castleberry ISD provides instructional services to support their learning needs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To support our families' understanding of giftedness and the referral process for identification along with services Castleberry ISD provides Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gifted and Talented Coordinator, Advanced Academics Coordinator, Principal, CAL Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Perceptions 1, 3 Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund	Nov 35%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 4: Provide informational meetings to families of identified gifted students to review academic and social/		Formative		Summative
emotional learning needs, present parent resources, and describe the annual independent study.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To support our families' understanding of giftedness and describe the services Castleberry ISD provides to gifted learners. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gifted and Talented Coordinator, Advanced Academics Coordinator, Principals, CALs	15%			
Problem Statements: Demographics 6 - Perceptions 1, 3				
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 7 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 6: 4.0% of students at CE receive gifted and talented services versus 8% at the state level based on the 2021-2022 TAPR. The 2022-2023 TAPR is not available yet. **Root Cause**: Even though we continue to grow in identification, we still need to continue to work on increased professional learning opportunities for teachers and information sessions with parents to support awareness of giftedness, process of identification, and service availability with a strategic focus on the discrepancy between boys and girls and CLED (culture, language, and economically disadvantaged).

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Goal 2: Enhance Trust and Confidence in the District Through Effective Communication

Performance Objective 1: Provide regular updates to stakeholders about progress, changes, and important events along with opportunities for stakeholders to provide feedback.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent Square, PTO-Facebook, AVC-Facebook, Remind, District Website

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The campus will use Parent Square, Twitter, and Facebook to send out communications as needed and is		Formative		Summative
committed to using #ceroars to tweet out learning from the campus weekly. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective communication with parents through various outlets Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Academic Leader Assistant Principal Principal Campus Communication Leader Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 3 Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund	Nov 60%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The campus will use the school facebook page to increase community communication.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide a transparent view of the events and learning happening at Castleberry Elementary	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Campus Communication Leader Problem Statements: Perceptions 2, 3	65%			

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Castleberry Elementary will promote the advantages of parent square for a streamlined communication		Formative		Summative
approach for events and teacher/parent communication	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic partnerships with parents				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	100%	100%	100%	
Assistant Principal	100%	100%	100%	
CAL				
Counselors				
Social Workers				
Teachers				
Front Office Staff				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 3				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Problem Statement 2: The current campus attendance rate is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Some parents do not understand the importance of consistent school attendance.

Goal 2: Enhance Trust and Confidence in the District Through Effective Communication

Performance Objective 2: Foster collaboration and communication between teachers, students, and parents on student progress through both personal conversations and digital tools and platforms that enable real-time communication and feedback.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent surveys, attendance at events, the number of families engaged with communication platforms (Parent Square, Canvas, Class Dojo, Seesaw, Facebook, Instagram

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Engage stakeholders in meaningful interactions that increase awareness and opportunities for participation in		Formative		Summative
REAL Family Engagement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent engagement, increased retention of families Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Media Coordinator Counselors	Nov 35%	Jan	Mar	June
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 2, 3				
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: After REAL family engagement events at the school, there will be a QR code link to a parent survey for feedback to be used when planning future events/programs.		Formative	T	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: survey results of school events will guide future events	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Data Leadership Team Campus Academic Leader Assistant Principal Principal Counselors	55%			
Problem Statements: Perceptions 3				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: CE will send out a weekly parent newsletter through Parent Square to keep parents informed of all engagement	Formative			Summative	
events.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent engagement and awareness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	70%				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 2, 3)				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Ensure all families are set up to be partners with the school in their child's education by establishing two way	Formative			Summative	
communication prior to the start of school the school year.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased communication between parents and teachers Parent Square	60%				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	60%				
Teachers					
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 3					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Problem Statement 2: The current campus attendance rate is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Some parents do not understand the importance of consistent school attendance.

Goal 2: Enhance Trust and Confidence in the District Through Effective Communication

Performance Objective 3: Increase attendance from 92.7% to 95% through timely effective communication.

Evaluation Data Sources: Weekly ADA Reports, Absence Occurrence Reports, Attendance Notes Reports, Truancy Prevention Measure Reports, Campus Communication Logs

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Utilize the Student Advocate Specialist to develop consistent communication and support between classroom		Formative		Summative
teachers and families.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased attendance rate, fewer students who need Truancy Prevention Measures, stronger relationships with families, parents who are educated on the importance of daily attendance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lead Student Advocate Specialist	40%			
Assistant Principal				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 3				
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will reach out to parents of students that are absent on a frequent basis to build relationships and	Formative			Summative
inform parents of the importance of school attendance.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student attendance				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	40%			
Assistant Principal Principal	1070			
rinicipal				
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Perceptions 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: The campus will use the House Points System to incentivize student attendance.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student attendance	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal				
Principal	10%			
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Perceptions 2				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 7: The preliminary attendance rate for the 2022-2023 school year is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Parents do not understand the difference between truancy and compulsory attendance.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Problem Statement 2: The current campus attendance rate is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Some parents do not understand the importance of consistent school attendance.

Goal 2: Enhance Trust and Confidence in the District Through Effective Communication

Performance Objective 4: Increase the quality of parent and family engagement by ensuring that all engagement events include at minimum 3 of the 4 REAL (Relationships, Experiences, Academic Partnerships & Leadership) engagement criteria.

Evaluation Data Sources: Flyers/Advertisements of Events Attendance sign-in sheets Surveys - Feedback from parents Parents Enrolled and Graduated - Parent University Documentation in District tracking system Parent Square

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: CE will partner with ACE to plan activities that comply with ESSA Title I, Part A Requirements and meet		Formative		Summative
REAL (Relationships, Experiences, Academic Partnerships, & Leadership) engagement criteria.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and family involvement, increased student performance, decreased truancy				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors	30%			
Campus Academic Leader				
Assistant Principal				
Principal				
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 3				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
ategy 2: In order to build capacity and create an empowering culture, the campus will provide professional development		Formative		
on family engagement to all staff. The campus will share family engagement information, materials and resources, including the Family Engagement Action Plan and Compact.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student academic growth, increased attendance, decreased discipline problems Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Counselors	45%			
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 Problem Statements: Perceptions 1, 3 Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 211 - Title I, Part A, - 263 - Title III, LEP, - 461 - Campus Activity Fund				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Participate in district-wide reading challenges to promote independent reading and reading together with parents	Formative			Summative
as their child's first teacher using programs including, but not limited to Beanstack.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased percentage of students and families reading together, increased literacy growth, increased number of students reaching reading challenges Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Librarians	45%			
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 8 - Perceptions 1, 3				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: The PBIS/Discipline Committee will meet monthly to review discipline policies and help support issues with	Formative S			Summative
CHAMPS and PBIS. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in discipline referrals which will add to a positive school culture	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/Staff Campus Academic Leader Assistant Principal Principal	N/A			
Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - School Processes & Programs 2, 5, 6				

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: The PBIS/Discipline Committee will attend training and then train other staff on discipline strategies to help	Formative			Summative
promote a safe environment for our school.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in discipline referrals which will add to a positive school culture Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/Teacher				
Campus Academic Leader	10%			
Assistant Principal				
Principal				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I, Part A - \$478				
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: CE will host a TELPAS Parent Informational Night so that parents understand exactly where their child's	Formative			Summative
English development is and how to support their child in moving to the next level.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance of students who participate in TELPAS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ESL Teachers	100%	100%	100%	
Bilingual Teachers Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Campus Academic Leader				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 7 - Perceptions 1				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon			<u> </u>

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 7: The preliminary attendance rate for the 2022-2023 school year is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Parents do not understand the difference between truancy and compulsory attendance.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. Root Cause: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: The staff survey indicated a need to provide evaluation results, plans, and evidence of support for struggling teachers. **Root Cause**: Data from walkthroughs conducted by central administration has not consistently been provided to teachers.

Problem Statement 5: When students are faced with significant mental health or social emotional issues school based resources are helpful, but additional supports are needed. **Root Cause**: Necessary treatment is outside the scope of what can be provided in the educational setting.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: There are missed opportunities to address conflict, behavioral, and social emotional issues in the school setting. **Root Cause**: Teachers and other school personnel lack training in areas, such as, but not limited to restorative practices, conflict resolution, and SEL that would empower them to address these issues.

Problem Statement 8: As revealed on the district's communications survey, 80% of respondents reported receiving district information "almost always" or "often" from 8 different sources, resulting in potential inconsistencies and challenges in parental engagement. **Root Cause**: The lack of a unified district communications plan resulted in teachers and campuses using a variety of tools and platforms to communicate with parents.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Goal 3: Implement Effective and Efficient Operations

Performance Objective 1: Continue to be fiscally responsible and maintain a healthy budget and planning for future needs.

Evaluation Data Sources: Budget data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Conduct budget meetings with campus secretary to review and manage all budgets. Ensure budget lines and	Formative			Summative
codes support campus priorities. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Error free records. Documentation of purchases and orders. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Campus Secretary Problem Statements: Demographics 7 - Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11	Nov 50%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize funds to support after school activities and to purchase supplemental resources that support instruction	Formative Summative			
(Example: Accelerating Success).	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Teachers	40%			→
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 9, 10, 11 Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 461 - Campus Activity Fund				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			Reviews
Strategy 3: Collect feedback from teachers and parents to identify possible afterschool activities and the resources needed	Formative			Summative
to support them.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased partnership with parents Increased student engagement in afterschool activities Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader Teachers School Counselors Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	45%			→
Funding Sources: - 461 - Campus Activity Fund				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 4: Partner with 21st Century to support afterschool programs.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement and engagement	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Increased partnership with parents and community Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Campus Academic Leader 21st Century Teachers and Staff 21st Century Site Coordinator 21st Century Program Director	60%			\rightarrow
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11				
	1		1	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 7: The preliminary attendance rate for the 2022-2023 school year is below 95%. **Root Cause**: Parents do not understand the difference between truancy and compulsory attendance.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Only 78% of Kinder, 25% of First, and 59% of Second are Meeting grade level expectations on their EOY Early Numeracy Math Assessments for 2023. **Root Cause**: The rigor of Tier I instruction must be increased to align to unit assessments, benchmarks, and STAAR.

Problem Statement 6: Only 32% of students 3rd-5th Grade scored at Meets Grade level or above in Math on STAAR in 2022-2023 school year based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. Root Cause: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

Problem Statement 8: More than half of students are leaving primary grades below grade level in writing district wide. **Root Cause**: Students have not mastered foundational writing skills such as legible printing, spelling, and the conventions of print, and inconsistent instructional practices throughout the district.

Problem Statement 9: STAAR Reading performance is 41% at meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not be released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 10: STAAR 5th Grade Science performance is 27% meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 11: At CE, 15% of kinder students, 33% of first grade students and 25% of second grade students did not meet or exceed grade-level expectations for reading skills EOY 22-23. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Goal 3: Implement Effective and Efficient Operations

Performance Objective 2: Retain 87% of teachers from the 2023-2024 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher retention data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide opportunities for growth and leadership through professional development.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Less than 10% turn over rate.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Talent and Acquisition Director Teachers	45%			
reachers				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2, 4				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Develop and implement a substitute plan that includes training, campus safety procedures, sub plans, and	Formative			Summative
relationship building.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased sub fill rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	55%			
Teachers				
Office Staff				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Establish strong relationships with substitutes to increase the overall fill rate of the campus.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Substitute fill rate	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal Teachers	55%			
Office Staff				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: The staff survey indicated a need to provide evaluation results, plans, and evidence of support for struggling teachers. **Root Cause**: Data from walkthroughs conducted by central administration has not consistently been provided to teachers.

Problem Statement 3: PLCs were cancelled occasionally during the 2022-2023 school year which impacted teacher time to collaborate, analyze, and develop action plans. **Root Cause**: PLCs were cancelled due to a low substitute fill rate.

Problem Statement 4: Climate surveys are conducted for teachers only at this time which does not allow us to capture other employee group feedback regarding campus and department climate and culture. **Root Cause**: While we have moved to an anonymous survey platform as requested by our employees in previous stakeholder meetings, we are still developing non-teacher surveys. A priority would be to distribute a culture/climate survey to other staff along with teaching staff.

Goal 3: Implement Effective and Efficient Operations

Performance Objective 3: Create a safe and orderly learning environment ensuring all staff and students are trained on safety policies, procedures, and protocols along with providing an effective system for safety communication.

Evaluation Data Sources: ESC Random Intruder Audits, Weekly Exterior Door Sweep Checks, Internal Safety Audit (Texas School Safety Center), External Safety Audit (Empowered Actions) that include Student, Staff, and Parent Surveys, Campus Drill Evaluation Forms, Threat Assessment Logs (Skyward)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement and assess campus safety and security audits to identify hazards, threats, and vulnerabilities that	Formative			Summative
might possess a potential threat to students and staff. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safe and secure campuses and facilities, improved staff and substitutes preparedness for all emergencies Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal SRO Teachers	Nov 55%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Continue to be active and visible on campus (interior and exterior) through various usage of safety and security		Formative Sum		
personnel that includes daily door checks and patrolling the exterior of the campus.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Positive feedback from students, parents, community, and district surveys, lowered student discipline referrals, decreased suspicious activity. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CISD Police Department Principals Safety and Security Coordinator	50%			→

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
trategy 3: Review, revise, and regularly conduct safety drills, including fire drills, lockdown drills, shelter-in-place drills, and parent reunification drills according to the safety timeline to ensure that all staff, students, and parents are familiar with		Formative		Summative
and parent reunification drills according to the safety timeline to ensure that all staff, students, and parents are familiar with emergency procedures. Evaluate the effectiveness of these drills and make necessary adjustments based on feedback and observations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To better improve the overall school safety for students, staff, and visitors. Clear & Concise Communication to all stakeholders Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent of Student Services Facilities & Operations CISD Police Department Safety & Security Coordinator Campus Administrators Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6	Nov 50%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews	-1
Strategy 4: Monitor our discipline management plans for clear expectations, establishment of routines and procedures,		Formative		Summative
implementation and monitoring of viable alternatives, and consistent expectations in commons areas and classrooms.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower number of student discipline referrals Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Counselors Teachers	50%			\rightarrow
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6				

Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Enhance the school's ability to be more proactive when preventing and responding to threats, ensuring a safe		Formative		Summative
and secure learning environment, as evidenced by the timely identification and appropriate management of potential threats, a reduction in incidents of violence or harm, and increased confidence among students, staff, and parents in the school's	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
safety measures. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To better improved students, staff, and parent communication before, during, and after an emergency crisis. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent of Student Services Facilities & Operations Communications Coordinator CISD Police Department Safety & Security Coordinator Campus Administrators Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6	50%			→
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Over the course of the next year, the district will establish a systematic collaborative process to regularly review		Formative	ve Summa	
incident reports, conduct weekly campus safety audits, and actively seek feedback from staff, students, and parents, with the purpose of identifying areas for improvement in the Emergency Operations Plan (EOP).	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Clear & Concise Communication to all stakeholders Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent of Student Services Facilities & Operations	40%			\rightarrow
Communications Coordinator CISD Police Department Safety & Security Coordinator Campus Administrators Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6				

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: When students are faced with significant mental health or social emotional issues school based resources are helpful, but additional supports are needed. **Root Cause**: Necessary treatment is outside the scope of what can be provided in the educational setting.

Problem Statement 6: There are missed opportunities to address conflict, behavioral, and social emotional issues in the school setting. **Root Cause**: Teachers and other school personnel lack training in areas, such as, but not limited to restorative practices, conflict resolution, and SEL that would empower them to address these issues.

Goal 3: Implement Effective and Efficient Operations

Performance Objective 4: Implementation of School Behavioral Threat Assessment team that conducts behavioral threat assessments.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Review, monitor, and train the Safe and Supportive School Team on Threat Assessment protocols and adhere to		Formative		Summative
the parental notification procedures related to suicide prevention, self-harm and other maltreatment of children.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student safety (decreased # of suicide attempts, self harm, etc.), safe and secure campuses and facilities Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Safe and Supportive School Staff Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6	50%			
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: When students are faced with significant mental health or social emotional issues school based resources are helpful, but additional supports are needed. **Root Cause**: Necessary treatment is outside the scope of what can be provided in the educational setting.

Problem Statement 6: There are missed opportunities to address conflict, behavioral, and social emotional issues in the school setting. **Root Cause**: Teachers and other school personnel lack training in areas, such as, but not limited to restorative practices, conflict resolution, and SEL that would empower them to address these issues.

Goal 3: Implement Effective and Efficient Operations

Performance Objective 5: Promote and maintain a healthy environment that fosters physical, mental and social and emotional wellness at school events for all students, staff and the community on a daily basis.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Implement a variety of programs to promote conflict resolution, healthy relationships, character development,		Formative		Summative
bully prevention, drug awareness, and crisis prevention response. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction of student referrals for violent and/or socially irresponsible behavior Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Director of School and Student Support Social Workers Counselors Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6	Nov 45%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Increase campus focus on mental health awareness with increased educational training associated with key personnel (teachers, counselors, police officer, and administrators)	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student safety (decreased # of suicide attempts, self harm, etc.), Safe and secure campuses and facilities. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Counselors SRO Safe and Supportive School Staff ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6	Nov 50%	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Embed social emotional learning in the school day to promote student well being with programs such as Second	Formative			Summative
Step, Rhithim, and CHAMPS. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved social and emotional well being of students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Counselors Social Workers Teachers	Nov 45%	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 2.6 Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 5, 6 Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - Federal Funds: ESSER				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue	•	

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: When students are faced with significant mental health or social emotional issues school based resources are helpful, but additional supports are needed. **Root Cause**: Necessary treatment is outside the scope of what can be provided in the educational setting.

Problem Statement 6: There are missed opportunities to address conflict, behavioral, and social emotional issues in the school setting. **Root Cause**: Teachers and other school personnel lack training in areas, such as, but not limited to restorative practices, conflict resolution, and SEL that would empower them to address these issues.

Goal 4: Implement Research-Proven Early Literacy and Numeracy Strategies to Foster Student Success

Performance Objective 1: Overall Performance Objective: The percent of third grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase to 60% by June 2025. 85% of all prekindergarten through second grade students will meet or exceed grade level expectations by June 2024 as measured by state assessments in Reading.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CLI Engage, TX-KEA, Tejas Lee, TPRI, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Refine the process of using data from Module and/or Unit Assessments in K-2 to monitor the effectiveness of		Formative		Summative
instruction and guide future instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on indicators monitoring student progress in Early Literacy, Numeracy, STAAR Scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: TLI Staff, Principal, Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 11	Nov 30%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Campuses will monitor the acquisition of foundational skills by studying student work and tracking data toward	rd Formative			Summative
skill-specific goals and adjusting instruction to meet specific needs.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strong tier 1 instruction and mastery of skills Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordinator Campus Academic Leader Teachers	55%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 11				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: Early Literacy will review assessments and guiding documents with teachers during PLCs.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will understand upcoming content and instructional implications.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordinator Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Student Learning 11 - School Processes & Programs 2	40%			

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Early Literacy will emphasize knowledge building, vocabulary, and oral language development strategies for		Formative		Summative	
teachers to incorporate in the learning process.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased reading comprehension					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordinator Campus Academic Leader	50%				
Cumpus / readernic Ecader					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 9, 11					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 5: Teachers will instruct students on how to respond to reading through constructed response.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Higher comprehension of text and achievement on assessments and improved	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
quality of writing.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordinator Campus Academic Leader	45%				
Cumpus i issuusii 24musi					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 8					
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 6: Provide strategic interventions that focus on targeted skills using the menu of lessons that has been created.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Higher achievement on assessments	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordinator					
Campus Academic Leader	40%				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 7: Students set literacy goals and monitor their own progress (skills acquisition, minutes read through Beanstack).		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet their goals	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
Campus Academic Leader	40%				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 9, 11 - Perceptions 1					
Funding Sources: - 199 - General Fund, - 211 - Title I, Part A, - Federal Funds: ESSER					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Only 78% of Kinder, 25% of First, and 59% of Second are Meeting grade level expectations on their EOY Early Numeracy Math Assessments for 2023. **Root Cause**: The rigor of Tier I instruction must be increased to align to unit assessments, benchmarks, and STAAR.

Problem Statement 6: Only 32% of students 3rd-5th Grade scored at Meets Grade level or above in Math on STAAR in 2022-2023 school year based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 8: More than half of students are leaving primary grades below grade level in writing district wide. **Root Cause**: Students have not mastered foundational writing skills such as legible printing, spelling, and the conventions of print, and inconsistent instructional practices throughout the district.

Problem Statement 9: STAAR Reading performance is 41% at meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not be released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 10: STAAR 5th Grade Science performance is 27% meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Problem Statement 11: At CE, 15% of kinder students, 33% of first grade students and 25% of second grade students did not meet or exceed grade-level expectations for reading skills EOY 22-23. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: The staff survey indicated a need to provide evaluation results, plans, and evidence of support for struggling teachers. **Root Cause**: Data from walkthroughs conducted by central administration has not consistently been provided to teachers.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: We have not developed strong academic and behavioral partnerships with all of our parents. **Root Cause**: There have been limited opportunities for parents to engage in their individual child's academic and behavioral learning.

Goal 4: Implement Research-Proven Early Literacy and Numeracy Strategies to Foster Student Success

Performance Objective 2: The percentage of second grade students that meets or masters grade-level expectations on EOY Writing Benchmark will increase to 70% by June 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: Student artifacts

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Support teachers by providing explicit instruction in grammar and conventions K-2nd. Lessons include explicit	Formativ			Summative
instruction, mentor sentences, application and creation of sentences with the new grammar/convention rule (Patterns of Power model), and STAAR formatted editing and revising practice. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student mastery of the conventions of written language. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordiantor Principal Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Student Learning 8, 9 Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I, Part A, - 199 - General Fund	Nov 25%	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	1
Strategy 2: Use the Gradual Release model for each genre of writing, and teaching students the writing process of planning		Formative		Summative
and organizing their thoughts.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Higher achievements on assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Early Literacy Coordinator Campus Academic Leader Problem Statements: Student Learning 7, 8, 9	40%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: We did not meet the standard for TELPAS in 2021-2022. Root Cause: 70% of students did not achieve one years growth.

Problem Statement 8: More than half of students are leaving primary grades below grade level in writing district wide. **Root Cause**: Students have not mastered foundational writing skills such as legible printing, spelling, and the conventions of print, and inconsistent instructional practices throughout the district.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: STAAR Reading performance is 41% at meets based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not be released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.

Goal 4: Implement Research-Proven Early Literacy and Numeracy Strategies to Foster Student Success

Performance Objective 3: Overall Performance Objective: The percent of third grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase to 60% by June 2025.

93% of all prekindergarten math students will meet or exceed grade-level expectations by June 2024 as measured by state assessment.

75% of all kindergarten math students will meet or exceed grade level on the end of year STEMScopes assessment.

60% of all first and second grade math students will meet or exceed grade level on the end of year STEMScopes assessment.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CLI Engage, STEMScopes assessment, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Increase use of manipulatives to build a deeper understanding of numeracy and to interact and connect a variety		Formative		Summative
of concepts in different ways. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Gain a deeper knowledge of numeracy	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Elementary Math Coordinator Campus Academic Leader	45%			
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6				
Funding Sources: - 211 - Title I, Part A, - Federal Funds: ESSER, - 199 - General Fund				
	Reviews			
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will increase the use of verbal discourse and critical writing to develop the mathematical language to		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
Strategy 2: Teachers will increase the use of verbal discourse and critical writing to develop the mathematical language to express ideas and promote reasoning.	Nov		iews Mar	Summative June
Strategy 2: Teachers will increase the use of verbal discourse and critical writing to develop the mathematical language to		Formative		
Strategy 2: Teachers will increase the use of verbal discourse and critical writing to develop the mathematical language to express ideas and promote reasoning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Develop higher order math vocabulary and a deeper understanding of math	Nov 40%	Formative		

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Math will vertically align foundational math skills that are built on numeracy through Guiding Documents,	Formative			Summative
resources, professional learning, and assessment.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Deeper understanding of numeracy Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Elementary Math Coordinator, CALs Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 6	40%			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Only 78% of Kinder, 25% of First, and 59% of Second are Meeting grade level expectations on their EOY Early Numeracy Math Assessments for 2023. **Root Cause**: The rigor of Tier I instruction must be increased to align to unit assessments, benchmarks, and STAAR.

Problem Statement 6: Only 32% of students 3rd-5th Grade scored at Meets Grade level or above in Math on STAAR in 2022-2023 school year based on preliminary data. 2022-2023 A-F Accountability data has not been released as of October 10, 2023. **Root Cause**: Teachers need more professional learning, coaching, and application skills on teaching to the rigor of essential standards along with identifying and scaffolding the learning targets.